27 March 1974

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: KIQ/KEP Briefing

AΤ

AΤ

	1		0n	26	March	1974	Ι	br	ief	ed	Branc	h and	Section
	heads	of	DIA	//D(C (Co1	lecti	ons	s) a	at	the	requ	est o	f
STATF					<u> </u>								

2. What was scheduled to be a 50 minute presentation
went on for 1 1/2 hours. My impression of the concerns
reflected in the extended question and answer period is
that DC has no problem with the KEP as such, but is very
sensitive to any intended, or possible, utilization of the
KEP in resource allocation processes. They seem to have
an almost paranoiac fear that the KIQs/KEP will be used as
a means to identify marginally effective collection assets
and hence identify primary candidates for decrements or
elimination purely on the basis of their performance
There was a particular concern
reflected that the KIQs would not address any of the
short term DOD needs and that there was no provision for
sources to get Credit for all the good things they were doing
Oddly enough, they had no difficulty with Section 1A of the
Performance Report, i.e., the form on which producers reflect
their view ofproductivity.

- 3. There was considerable comment that the cost of administering/accomplishing the evaluation process would transcend any possible utilization, vis-a-vis, the stated objectives of the process.
- 4. We parted 'friends,' all reasonably satisfied that the KEP was doable, that we could only answer some of the questions by doing it, and that there were a number of difficult issues and problems to be resolved. High on the list of questions were several related to responsibility for substantive content and scope of the KIQs themselves, and the means by which they would be added, or dropped from the list.

1	
I 0 = 4 -	
STA ⁻	
) 01/1	